

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 25, 2005 LB 298

SENATOR LANDIS: My interpretation is that these are concurrent systems, federal and state. The termination is ineffective unless the state of Nebraska, were they a consenting agency, said that they agreed to the termination. And it wouldn't make a difference if everybody else was agreed. If we didn't say that it should be terminated, then it shouldn't be terminated. That's...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, so...

SENATOR LANDIS: Should there be more than one regulator, I think every one of them has an operating veto.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So your intent, under this language, would be that regardless of who else may be defined as an agency, your intent is that there could be more than one, and there would always be the Nebraska DEQ, and the Nebraska DEQ would always have to consent?

SENATOR LANDIS: That's right. The signatories would have to say yes. And our state never should surrender its power to regulate.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, I don't think that's the way the bill reads, Senator. But we can discuss that. Let me go on to another part of the bill, so...and again, I'm getting to parts of the bill where I'm not sure that I understand completely. And I appreciate whatever help you can give me. But if you're going to have an effective environmental covenant, do you not have to get subordination agreements, if it's going to be perpetual? Subordination agreements from those who have a priority interest? If you have a mortgage holder on the property, for example, in order for them not to foreclose the environmental...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...covenant, would they not have to subordinate their interest to the environmental covenant?